2016 Olympic Sailing Competition - Events

Regulation 16.1.5

A submission from the Fédération Française de Voile

Proposal:

To add a new Regulation to be applied for 2016 Olympic Games

16.1.5 (a) (vi) must make sure that the five main disciplines in sailing will be represented at the Olympic Games. The five disciplines are:

- Windsurfing
- Dinghy single-handed
- Dinghy double-handed
- Keelboat
- Multihull

Current Position:

Decision made for 2012 Olympic Games:

Men
Windsurfer
One person dinghy
One person dinghy – heavy
Two person dinghy
Two person dinghy high performance
Keelboat

Women
Windsurfer
One person dinghy
Two person dinghy
Keelboat Match Racing

Reason:

The unexpected effect of the decision made in November 2007 is that Multihull discipline disappears from the sailing events of the 2012 Olympic Regatta.

The five disciplines constituting sport sailing activity should be represented at the Olympic Games.

2008 NOVEMBER CONFERENCE

COUNCIL DECISION – NOVEMBER 2008
Council received Submission 084-08 from the Fédération Française de Voile

**Decision**

Council deferred Submission 084-08. (31 in favour, 4 against, 1 abstain)

**Recommendation from the Reporting Committee**

Events Committee

**Recommendations to Council : Defer**

**Opinions from the Other Committees**

**Constitution Committee**

**Opinion: No Recommendation**

The Constitution Committee has not considered the wording of the following submissions as Council needs to decide the policy and the Constitution Committee will then draft the appropriate Regulations:

084-08, 085-08, 086-08, 087-08, 088-08, 089-08

However, the Constitution Committee would like Council to note the following comments on these submissions:

- The event descriptions should reflect the current ISAF event terms, ie one person dinghy, rather than single-handed dinghy.
- 084-08 - The Constitution Committee would like to point out that there is no accepted definition of “main disciplines”.

**Equipment Committee**

**Opinion: Defer**

**Executive Committee**

**Opinion: Reject**

This proposal is considered to be too prescriptive. The Executive Committee favours submission 82-08 to establish a strategy.

**Women’s Sailing Committee**

**Opinion: No Recommendation**

The Women’s Sailing Committee supports the intent of the submission to review the events. However, given the view that there needs to be freedom to review the overall strategy on Olympic events and equipment, without constraint, that this submission, with submissions 085-08, 086-08, 087-08, 088-08 and 089-08 be considered by the Olympic Advisory Commission proposed in Submission 082-08.
2009 NOVEMBER CONFERENCE

COUNCIL DECISION – NOVEMBER 2009

Council received Submission 084-08 from the Fédération Française de Voile.

Decision

Council deferred submission 084-08 to May 2010 pending consideration by the Olympic Commission in their report to the Events Committee in May 2010 (34 in favour, 1 against, 2 abstain). This submissions shall be considered once the report from the Olympic Commission has been received in May 2010.

Recommendation from the Reporting Committee

Events Committee

Recommendation to Council: Defer

The Olympic Commission are looking into this submission and will report back to the Events Committee in May 2010.

Opinions from the Other Committees

Constitution Committee

Opinion: No Recommendation

Constitution Committee position unchanged from previous position

Equipment Committee

Opinion: Reject

To wait for the deliberations of the Olympic Commission

Executive Committee

Opinion: Reject

All issues are being considered by the Olympic Commission.

2010 MID-YEAR MEETING

COUNCIL DECISION – MAY 2010

Council received Submission 084-08 from the Fédération Française de Voile.

Decision

Council unanimously deferred submission 084-08 to November 2010 pending the outcome of the Olympic Commission Report.
Recommendation from the Reporting Committee

Events Committee

Recommendation to Council: Defer

Waiting for the outcome of the Olympic Commission Report

Opinions of the Other Committees

Executive Committee

Opinion: No Recommendation